

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

RETROACTIVE SUSPENSION AND IMMEDIATE REINSTATEMENT

– **George G. Strott, Jr., Esquire**

Supreme Court No. 73, 2013. Effective Date: March 25, 2013

By order dated March 25, 2013, the Delaware Supreme Court imposed on George G. Strott, Jr., Esquire identical and reciprocal discipline as that ordered by the Court of Appeals in Maryland (“Court of Appeals”). Accordingly, the Supreme Court approved the findings made by the Board on Professional Responsibility (the “Board”). The Court ordered Mr. Strott retroactively suspended from the practice of law in Delaware, and reinstated him to the practice of law in Delaware, effective immediately.

On August 23, 2012, the Court of Appeals ordered Mr. Strott indefinitely suspended with the right to apply for reinstatement thirty days after commencement of the suspension. The indefinite suspension resulted from complaints regarding failure to respond to client communications, and issues relating to disbursement of settlement proceeds. Mr. Strott consented to the imposition of this sanction. Mr. Strott recognized sufficient evidence supported the conclusion he violated **Rules 1.1, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5(a), 1.5(c), 1.15(a), 1.15(c), and 8.4(d)** of the Maryland Lawyers’ Rules of Professional Conduct, the relevant provisions of which are identical to the Delaware Lawyers’ Rules of Professional Conduct. Mr. Strott’s suspension took effect sixty days from the Court of Appeals’ order. Without objection, the Court of Appeals reinstated Mr. Strott on December 14, 2012.

The Board found no basis under Rule 18(d) of the Delaware Lawyers’ Rules of

Disciplinary Procedure to recommend a sanction different than the sanction imposed by the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court adopted the Board's Report and Recommendation of Sanction.